International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology

Citation: IJAEB: 9(2): 225-230 April 2016 DOI Number: 10.5958/2230-732X.2016.00031.0

©2016 New Delhi Publishers. All rights reserved



HORTICULTURE

Comparative analysis of various organic amendments on tree growth and nut yield of cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.)

Amrita Mohapatra¹, D.K.Dash² and P. Tripathy³

- ¹Farm Manager, KVK Keonjhar (OUAT) Keonjhar, Odisha, India
- ²Department of Fruit Science and Horticulture Technology, OUAT, Bhubaneswar-751 003, India
- ³Department of Vegetable Science OUAT, Bhubaneswar, Odisha-751 003, India

Corresponding author: amritaouat@gmail.com

Paper No. 433 Received: 13-1-2016 Accepted: 14-4-2016

Abstract

An experimentwas carried out on "Comparative analysis of various organic amendments on tree growth and nut yield of Cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.)" var. BPP8 (H 2/16) at Cashew Research Station under AICRP on Cashew, Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, Bhubaneswar during 2013-14 and 2014-15 adapting randomized block design (RBD) having eight treatments replicated thrice. The treatments wereT1-100 per cent N as FYM, T2 -100 per cent N as FYM + Biofertilizers (*Azotobacter + Azospirillum +* PSB) 200 g, T3-50 per cent N as FYM + Biofertilizers (200g), T4-100 per cent N as Vermicompost + Biofertilizers (200g), T5-Recycling of organic residue with the addition of 20 per cent cow dung slurry, T6- *In situ* green manuring to meet 100 per cent N, T7 - 25 per cent N as FYM + recycling of organic residue + *in situ* green manuring/green leaf manuring + Biofertilizers (200g) and T8- RDF + 10 kg FYM (Control). Biometrical observations on vegetative characters, were recorded during 2013-14 and 2014-15. Application of RDF along with 10 kg FYM recorded maximum value in plant height (5.05 m), canopy spread (7.88 m), production of laterals (26.91) and flowering laterals (22.48) and nut yield (14.06 q/ha). The recommended dose of fertilizer and an addition of 10 kg FYM is most beneficial and economical, but 25 per cent N as FYM, recycling of organic residue, *in situ* green manuring/green leaf manuring and biofertilizers must be recommended from the view point of environmental sustainability and equity.

Highlights

- Application of RDF along with 10 kg FYM observed as suitable for vegetative parameters and nut yield
 of cashew.
- Organic inputs are the best way for environmental sustainability and equity.
- Organic amendments are most beneficial and economical in productivity of cashew.

Keywords: Cashew, organic, biofertilizer, vermicompost, FYM, nut yield

Cashew (*Anacardium occidentale* L.) treated as "Wonder nut of the world" having about 75 genera and 700 species. The wonder nut is indigenous to Brazil and is an evergreen nut-bearing tropical plant that grows in latitude 15° north and south of the equator. It is a multipurpose tree crop with great economic importance to third world countries including Benin Republic, Brazil,Coted'Ivore,

Guinea Bissau, Ghana, India, Mozambique, Nigeria, Philippines, Sri Lanka, Tanzania and Vietnam. Morphologically, the architecture of cashew tree makes it a foremost tree crop for reclaiming land area to enhanced productivity, through the prevention of desertification and soil erosion. The drought resistant, evergreen cashew tree is economically grown for its nut, apple and wood.

Products derived from the nuts include the world's highly delighted roasted kernel snacks, kernel oil, cashew nut shell liquid, and from the apple: juice, jam and alcohol among others.

Although cashew was introduced into Nigeria more than 400 years ago, extensive cultivation started only in the early 1950's. From 1965 to 1990 cashew production was relatively static at 25,000

tonnes with estimated land area of 50,000 ha in 1990. Currently, cashew cultivation has spread to about 27 states of the country and in the past 12 years, production has increased almost thirty-fold from 30,000 MT in 1990 to 836,500 MT in 2012 from estimated land area of 366,000 ha (FAOSTAT, 2013) Cashew nut is now treated as a healthy friendly nut (Fernandez, 2001). This is primarily due to presence of high fat i.e 47%, out of which 82 % are in unsaturated form and the proportion of the monosaturated fatty acid and polysaturated fatty acid in 4:1 (Rao and Venkataraman 1995), thereby reduce the cholesterol and have good for heart health of human beings .The high dietry fiber and high monosaturated fatty acid lower the blood glucose and improve insulin resistance (Sacks, 1999). As a whole, cashew nuts are becoming established as a food item that can protect human beings against major diseases including heart diseases, diabetics, cancer etc. with its rare combination of fats, carbohydrates and protein. Cashew kernel is a rich source of high energy and a snack of great taste. Hence, in order to compete with international

Materials and methods

cashew.

The research experiment was carried out at Cashew Research Station, Ransinghpur, Bhubaneswar under All India Coordinated Research Project on Cashew of Orissa University of Agriculture and Technology, Odisha, India (latitude 20°45"N, longitude 86°10" E and altitude 60m). Eight treatments were used in the study by adopting RBD, replicated thrice having four plants per treatments. The details of the treatment schedule are presented in Table 1. The grafted plants of recommended cashew varieties for Odisha, BPP-8 were transplanted during 2007 with a normal spacing of 7.0 m X 7.0 m by adopting the recommended package of practices uniformly to all the treatments except the nutrient management, which was followed as per the treatment schedule (Table 1).

market, India has to go for organic cultivation of

Table 1: Treatment details of Organic Management in Cashew

Treatment	Treatment details					
T ₁	100 % N as FYM					
T_2	100 % N as FYM + Bio-fertilizers (Azatobacter+Azospirillum+ PSB) 200 g					
T_3	50 % N as FYM + Bio-fertilizers (200 g)					
T_4	100% N as Vermicompost + Bio-fertilizers (200 g)					
T_5	Recycling of organic residue with the addition of 20 % cowdung slurry (20.0 % weight of organic residue as cow dung)					
T_6	In situ green manuring / green leaf manuring to meet 100 $\%$ N					
T_7	25 % N as FYM + Recycling of organic residue + <i>In situ</i> green manuring /green leaf manuring + Bio-fertilizers (200 g)					
T ₈	Recomended doses of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM (Control)					

Results and discussion

Data relevant to plant height is indicated from Table 2 that the height of the plant due to various treatments was found significant. The data it was observed that maximum (5.05m) plant height was found in recommended doses of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM (T8) followed by (4.38 m) 100per cent N as FYM(T1) was found statistically superior and at par. Minimum (4.38m) was recorded in 100 per cent N as Vermicompost + Biofertilizers (T_4). All treatments except T₈ and T₁ treatments were found statistically at par with T₄. Data relevant to plant girth is indicated from Table 2 that the girth of the plant due to various treatments was found highly significant. Trunk girth was noticed under pooled analysis where 100per centN as FYM(T₁) and N as FYM + Biofertilizers, (T2) noted for maximum (71.39cm and 71.25cm, respectively) trunk girth. 100per cent N as Vermicompost + Biofertilizers (T₄) showed minimum (63.33cm) girth followed by T₃ which was significantly different from others. Rest of the treatment $(T_{5'}, T_{8'}, T_{7}, T_{7}, T_{3})$ was found statistically at par with T₁.

Canopy Spread in the East - West (E-W) direction among the treatments was found statistically non significant (Table2) From the pooled data it was observed that Recommended doses of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM (T8) recorded highest (7.98m) plant



spread followed by 25 per cent N as FYM + recycling of organic residue + *in situ*greenmanuring/ green leaf manuring + Biofertilizers, 100per cent N as FYM + Biofertilizersand*in situ* green manuring / green leaf manuring to meet 100 per cent N.

It is seen from the Table 2 that the canopy spread in the North-South (N-S) direction was found statistically different among the treatments.

It is indicated from the pooled data, Recommended doses of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM (T8) recorded maximum (7.88m) canopy spread and was found

statistically superior to all other treatments and minimum (6.92m) was recorded in 100 per cent N as Vermicompost + Biofertilizers (T_4)

The two years pooled data indicated that highest (26.91) number of laterals were recorded in recommended doses of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM (T8) followed by 100 per cent N as FYM + Biofertilizers (25.57) and was found significantly superior to all other treatments and minimum (22.38) was recorded in 100per centN as FYM(T_1).

Table 2: Effect of organic inputs on tree height and trunk girth, canopy spread and Total no. of Laterals/m² of pooled (Pooled data for the year 2013-14 & 2014-15)

	Treatment	Tree height (m)	Trunk girth (cm)	Canopy spread (E-W)	Canopy Spread (N-S)	Total no. of Laterals/m²
	100% N as FYM	4.89ab	71.39ª	7.42 ^{ab}	7.62 ^b	22.38 ^d
T_2	100% N as FYM + Biofertilizers (Azotobacter + Azospirillum + PSB) 200g	4.57 ^{bc}	71.25ª	7.51 ^{ab}	7.13 ^{bc}	25.57 ^{ab}
T_3	50% N as FYM +Biofertilizers (200g)	4.59 ^{bc}	64.33°	7.13 ^b	7.20 ^{bc}	22.78 ^d
T_4	100% N as Vermicompost + Biofertilizers (200g)	4.38°	56.1 ^d	7.25 ^{ab}	6.92°	24.59 ^{bc}
T_5	Recycling of organic residue with the addition of 20% cow dung slurry	4.68bc	69.88 ^{ab}	7.32 ^{ab}	7.19 ^{bc}	22.47 ^d
T_6	In situ green manuring / green leaf manuring to meet100% N	4.56 ^{bc}	66.46 ^{ab}	7.11 ^b	7.27 ^{bc}	23.62 ^d
T ₇	25% N as FYM +recycling of organic residue + in situ green manuring/green leaf manuring +Biofertilizers (200g)	4.71 ^{bc}	68.70 ^{ab}	7.47 ^{ab}	7.18 ^{bc}	25.14 ^b
T_8	Recommended doses of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM (Control)	5.05ª	69.60 ^{ab}	7.98^{a}	7.88 ^a	26.91ª
	SE(m)±	0.09	1.40	0.21	0.13	0.51
	CD (p=0.05)	0.29	4.24	NS	0.39	1.55
	CV %	3.59	3.60	5.11	3.07	3.67
	F test	**	**	NS	**	**

^{*}significant at 5% level **significant at1%level, The superscription like a,b.... within a row or a column do not differ statistically.

The vegetative parameters like plant height, girth, canopy spread and Number of laterals/m² are the indicator for the final output.

The tree height was significantly influenced by the various treatments. RDF along with 10kg FYM recorded the highest height and was found statistical par with 100 per cent N as FYM and it was different from all other treatments and minimum height was recorded in 100 per cent N as vermicompost and biofertilizer consortium. The trunk girth which was an indicator for health of plant was found maximum in treatment amended with 100 per cent N as FYM + Biofertilizer was significantly superior to other treatments but at



par with 100 per cent N as FYM. Minimum was recorded in 100 per cent N as vermicompost and Biofertilizers. The application of 100 per cent N as FYM+ Biofertilizers and 100 per cent N as FYM increase the girth of the plant compare to other treatments. The minimum girth was recorded where 100per cent N as vermicompost and Biofertilizers was applied. In the plantation crop like cashew on rain fed situation the nutrient uptake might be less compare to other treatments. FYM being a bulky organic material releases soil compaction and improves soil aeration in addition to the supply of essential plant nutrients and organic matter thereby increasing the soils biological activities.FYM also provided room for better microbial establishment along with the accumulation of excess humus content. Naik et al. (2007) in Guava and Ghose et al. (2014) obtained a significant result in plant growth by application of organic manures.

The canopy spread both in (E-W) and (N-S) direction is the reflection of fruiting area to the plant and the number of laterals/meter² also varied according to various treatments, applications. The Canopy spread in the East -West and North-South direction was maximum in Recommended doses of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM followed by 100 per cent N as FYM and minimum was recorded in 100 per cent *N* as Vermicompost + Biofertilizers. The number of laterals also found highest in treatment applied with Recommended doses of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM followed by 25 per cent N as FYM + recycling of organic residue + in situ green manuring/green leaf manuring + Biofertilizers and 100per cent N as FYM + Biofertilizers. Theminimum was recorded in 100 per cent N as FYM. It was found that the application of RDF i.e. 500g, 250g, 250g with 10 kg FYM was found superior with respect to plant height, Canopy spread and production of laterals per square meter so the plant is able to absorb more nutrients and induce more of vegetative growth. The present findings are agreement with earlier worker Ghosh et al. 2014 in orange, Jeeva et al. (1988) in banana, Gautam et al. (2012) in mango, Yadav et al. (2010) in strawberry. However, the organic treatments like 25 per cent N as FYM + recycling of organic residue + in situ green manuring/green leaf manuring + Biofertilizers and 100 per cent N as FYM + Biofertilizers showed the second highest result.

The pooled data was found significant. Treatment RDF+10 kg FYM (T_8) recorded highest (27.21 cm)

panicle length, found statistically at par with T₇ (26.30cm) supplied with 25 per cent N as FYM + recycling of organic residue + in situ green manuring/green leaf manuring + Biofertilizers, T_4 (25.61 cm) and minimum (26.62cm) was recorded in In situ green manuring / green leaf manuring (T6). Treatment T_6 , T_5 , T_3 , T_1 and T_2 was also found statistically at par. As indicated from pooled data maximum (19.62cm) panicle breadth was recorded in RDF+10 kg FYM (T_s) and was found statistically at par with 100per cent N as Vermicompost + Biofertilizers (T₄). Minimum panicle breadth (16.37) was recorded in Recycling of organic residue with the addition of 20per cent cow dung slurry (T₅) The pooled data indicated that maximum number (22.48) of flowering laterals was recorded in RDF+10 kg FYM (T_s) followed by T₂ (21.9) amended with100per cent N as FYM + Biofertilizers (T_2) . T_8 , T_2 , T_4 and T_7 were statistically at par but significantly superior to all other treatments and minimum (19.25) was recorded in treatment in situ green manuring / green leaf manuring to meet100per cent N (T_c). Pooled data RDF with 10 kg of FYM (T₈) recorded highest (14.06 q/ha) nut yield and was found significantly superior to all other treatments and minimum (6.85 q/ha) was recorded by in situ green manuring / green leaf manuring(T_s). There was no significant variation among $T_{6'}$ $T_{5'}$ T_{3} and T_{1} . The highest nut yield 14.6 q/ha was in RDF +10kg FYM compare to all other treatments and lowest in in situ green manuring/green leaf manuring that is 6.85q /ha. The nut yield was influence by the vegetative growth, flowering behavior, nut weight. It is evident that as compare to T₆ the nut yield was more than 100 per cent in T₈ and it is also found that 25% N as FYM recycling of organic residue along with in situ green manuring and Biofertilizers recorded yield of 10.19q/ha and in case of 100 per cent as FYM and Biofertilizer increase the yield of 10.04q/ha. The increase in yield might be due to the solubilization effect of the nutrients as well as the chelating effect of bio fertilizer on metals thereby the availability of essential nutrients get increased. Srichandan et al. (2015) This finding is in accordance with Brahma et al. (2012) in Broccoli. It is found that the low yield is also attributed due to less number of flowering panicles, less number of nuts per m²

and nut weight. This present findings are confirmed



with the findings of Mahendraetal (1988), Hebbara et al. (2006) recorded good effect of organic inputs in grapes and sapota respectively, Chottopadhaya and Ghos (1986) and Reddy et al. (1972), The application of organic manure, biofertilizer was

found promising in the fruit crops as fated by the above worker. Richards (1993) recorded higher yields with higher dose of NPK and lower yield in any nitrogen application in cashew.

Table 3: Effect of organic inputs on Panicle length(cm), Breadth (cm), Number of flowering laterals/m². Nut Yield (q/ha) pooled data for the year 2013-14 & 2014-15.

	Treatment	Panicle length(cm)	Panicle Breadth (cm)	Number of flowering laterals/m ²	Nut Yield (q/ha)
T_1	100% N as FYM	24.78 ^{bcd}	19.98 ^{bc}	88.00 ^{cd}	7.96 ^{cd}
T_2	100% N as FYM + Biofertilizers (Azotobacter + Azospirillum + PSB) $200g$	25.33 ^{bcd}	21.90ª	89.33 ^{bc}	10.04 ^b
T_3	50% N as FYM +Biofertilizers (200g)	24.12 ^{cd}	19.75°	87.33 ^d	7.96 ^{cd}
T_4	100% N as Vermicompost + Biofertilizers (200g)	25.61 ^{abc}	21.40^{ab}	90.33ab	8.98 ^{bc}
T_5	Recycling of organic residue with the addition of 20% cow dung slurry	$23.94^{\rm cd}$	19.81°	88.33 ^{cd}	7.49^{d}
T_6	In situ green manuring / green leaf manuring to meet 100% N	23.62 ^d	19.25°	88.33 ^{cd}	6.85 ^d
T_7	25% N as FYM +recycling of organic residue + in situ green manuring/green leaf manuring +Biofertilizers (200g)	26.30 ^{ab}	21.39 ^{ab}	89.67 ^{bc}	10.19 ^b
T_8	Recommended doses of fertilizer + 10 kg FYM (Control)	27.21a	22.48a	91.33a	14.06^{a}
	SE(m)±	0.44	0.53	0.47	0.38
	CD (p=0.05)	1.33	1.6	1.42	1.159
	CV %	3.03	5.16	3.92	7.2
	F test	**	**	**	**

^{*}significant at 5% level ** significant at 1% level, The superscription like a,b.... within a row or a column do not differ statistically.

Conclusion

We have concluded that application of integrated nutrient of both inorganic (recommendedfertilizers) and organic nutrients (FYM) not only increases the vegetative growth but also the nutyield in cashew as compared to other sole organic inputs. The study also showed the possibility ofintegrated use of different sources of organic inputs in equal proportion to supplement 100% N as 25%N as FYM + Recycling of organic residue + In situ green manuring / green leaf manuring + Biofertilizers@ 200g in order to provide better growth and yield in cashew by maintaining soil fertility, atleast continuous application for a period of six to seven years and required further study.

Acknowledgements

The financial assistance provided by Department of Science and Technology, GOI and Directorate of Cashew Research (ICAR), Puttur, Karnataka, India under All India Coordinated Research Project on Cashew is duly acknowledged.

References

Brahma, S., Phookan, D.B., Gautam, B.P. and Bora, D.K. 2012. Effect of nitrogen, phosphorous andpotassium on production of broccoli (*Brassica oleraceaL.* var. *italica*) cv. KTS-1. *Vegetable Science* **29**(2): 154-156.

Chattopadhaya, P.K. and Bose, T.K. 1986. Effect of N, P, K nutrition on growth, yield and quality of Dwarf Cavendish banana. *Indian Agricultureist* **30**(3):213-222.



- FAOSTAT. 2013. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. http://faostat.fao.org/site/567/ DesktopDefault.aspx? Page ID=567#ancor.
- Fernadez, M. 2001. Cashewnut: A health friendly nut. The Cashew, **15**(4): 8-11.
- Gautam, U.S., Singh, R., Tiwary, N., Gujar, P.S. and Kumar, A. 2012. Effect of integrated nutrient management in mango cv. Sunderja. *Indian Journal of Horticulture* 69: 151-155.
- Ghosh, B., Irenaeus, T.K.S., Kundu, S. and Datta, P. 2014. Effect of organic manuring on growth, yield and quality of sweet orange, *Acta Horticulture* pp. 1024.
- Hebbara, M., Ganger, V.M., Masthana, R.B.G. and Joshi, V.R. 2006. Integrated nutrient management in sapota (*Manilkarazapota* L.), using vermicompost to increase yield and quality. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science* **76**(10): 587-590.
- Ibrahim, M., Hassan, A., Arshad, M. and Tanveer, A. 2007. Variationin root growth and nutrient element concentration in wheat and rice: Effect of rate and type -of organic materials. Soil and Environment 29(1): 47-52.
- Jeeva, S., Kulasekaran, M., Shanmugavelu, G.K. and Obilisami, G. 1988. Effect of *Azospirillum* on growth and development of banana cv. Poovan (AAB). *South Indian Horticulture* **36**(1): 1-4.
- Khehra Savraeet and Bal, J.S. 2015 Influence of combined use of organic, inorganic and biological sources of nutrients on fruit quality in lemon. *International Journal of Agriculture Environment and Biotechnology* **9**(1): 85-88

- Mahendra, S.B., Keneth, S.D., Venkateswara, R.S. and Vencent, E.F. 1988. Application of poultry manure influence Thompson Seedless grape production and soil properties. *Horticulture Science* **23**(6): 1010-1012.
- Naik, M.H., Babu, S.H. 2007. Feasibility of organic farming in guava, Acta Horticulturae **735**: 365-371
- Rao, S.I.K. and Venkataraman, S. 1995. Nutritional qualities of cashew, Cashew industry. KJP Research foundation, Trivandrum.
- Reddy, D.V.S., Kumar, S.N. and Prabu, S.R. 2001. Evaluation of alternative media to potting mixture for raising coconut seedlings in poly bags, *Plantation Crop* **29**(1): 62-65.
- Richard, N.K. 1993. Cashew Research in Northern Territory, Australia, 1987-1991. Technical Bulletin No.202.
- Sacks, Frank, M. 1999. Harvested Nut Research Revealed to Japan nut Association. The cracker, No.3. International Tree nut council; Spain.
- Srichandan, S., Mangaraj, A.K., Behera, K.K., Panda, D., Das, A.K. and Rout, M. 2015 Growth, Yield and Economics of Broccoli (*Brassica oleracea var. Italica*) as Influenced by Organic and Inorganic Nutrients. *International journal of Agriculture Environment and Biotechnology* 8(4): 965-970
- Yadav, S.K., Khokhar, U.U., Yadav, R.P. 2010. Integrated nutrient management in strawberry cultivation. *Indian journal of Horticulture* **69**(4): 445-44.