International Journal of Agriculture, Environment & Biotechnology

Citation: IJAEB: 7(3): 627-633 September 2014 DOI Number: 10.5958/2230-732X.2014.01368.0

©2014 New Delhi Publishers. All rights reserved



Agronomy

Biological Efficiency of Legume Intercrops in Baby Corn (Zea Mays L.)

S. Rathika*, K. Velayudham, N. Thavaprakaash and T. Ramesh

Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore-641 003, Tamil Nadu, INDIA.

Corresponding author: rathikaselvaraj@gmail.com

Paper No. 249 Received: August 2, 2014 Accepted: August 24, 2014 Published: September 18, 2014

Abstract

Field experiments were conducted at the Department of Agronomy, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore to study the effect of crop geometry, intercropping and topping on the productivity and biological efficiency of baby corn. Crop geometry (60 x 20 cm and 75 x 16 cm) and intercropping (baby corn alone, baby corn + fenugreek (greens), baby corn + fodder cowpea) were assigned to main plots. Four topping practices (detasseling alone, topping beyond 9th, 10th and 11th internodes) was allotted to sub plots. The results revealed that baby corn raised at 75 x 16 cm produced higher green cob yield over 60 x 20 cm. Intercropping systems did not have positive influence on yield of baby corn. The highest green cob yield was obtained with topping beyond 10th internode. Higher baby corn equivalent yield (BEY) was obtained with baby corn + fenugreek grown under 75 cm row spacing combined with topping beyond 10th internode. Biological use efficiency as measured by the indices like LER, AHER, LEC, MER and RNRI were higher with baby corn + fenugreek grown under 75 cm row spacing combined with topping beyond 10th internode. However, baby corn intercropped with fodder cowpea at 75 cm row spacing combined with topping beyond 10th internode registered the higher ATER than the other treatment combinations.

Highlights

Baby corn + fenugreek grown raised at 75 cm row spacing with topping beyond 10th internode recorded higher BEY, LER, AHER, LEC, MER and RNRI.

Keywords: Baby corn, crop geometry, intercropping, topping, biological efficiency, green cob yield, baby corn equivalent yield

Baby corn has the versatility to become commercial crop of this century. Baby corn is gained popularity as a vegetable being a rich source of phosphorus, iron, vitamin A and C, high fibre content and no cholesterol (Nataraj et al., 2011). Being a non conventional vegetable crop, it contributes towards employment through value addition and also food processing. Optimum crop geometry is one of the important factors for higher production by efficient

utilization of underground resources and also harvesting as much as solar radiation and in turn better photosynthate formation.

Intercropping ensures efficient utilization of light and other resources, reduces soil erosion, suppresses weed growth and thereby helps to maintain greater stability in crop yields (Kamanga et al., 2010). It also guarantees greater land occupancy and thereby higher net returns. Hence, it is also necessary to select a suitable intercrop with higher productivity and profitability of baby corn in intercropping situation. Growing of short duration leguminous crops like fodder cowpea (Purushotham et al., 2003) and fenugreek (Kumar et al., 2006) as intercrops in various cereals and millets have been well documented for its higher system productivity. Topping is an important agro-technique to induce better cob development by removal of terminal portion from the uppermost node. However, it is essential to know the correct stage of the crop when topping has to be done. Hence, sowing of baby corn at optimum crop geometry with suitable legume intercrops followed by topping at correct stage are required to be found for higher productivity and to improve biological efficiency of baby corn. But, information on these aspects in baby corn is lacking and so the present study was undertaken.

Materials and Methods

Field experiments were conducted at Eastern Block Farm, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore during kharif, 2006 and 2007. The experimental site is located at 11° N latitude, 77° E longitude and at an altitude of 426.7 m above MSL. The experiments were laid out in split plot design with three replications. The main plot treatments comprised of crop geometry (60 x 20 cm and 75 x 16 cm) and intercropping systems (baby corn alone, baby corn + fenugreek (greens), baby corn + fodder cowpea). Topping practices (detasseling alone, topping beyond 9th, 10th and 11th internodes) were assigned to sub plots. Detasseling was done as and when emergence of tassel i.e., normally at 52-55 DAS. Topping refers to nipping or the removal of terminal portion from the uppermost node to induce better cob development and to avoid fertilization of the cob. Topping beyond 9th, 10th and 11th internodes were done at 47, 50 and 52-55 DAS respectively.

Table 1. Influence of crop geometry, intercropping and topping practices on green cob yield and baby corn equivalent yield (BEY)

T	(Green cob yield	(kg ha ⁻¹)	BEY (kg ha ⁻¹)				
Treatments	2006	2007	Pooled	2006	2007	Pooled		
Crop geometry			•					
S ₁ - 60 x 20 cm	7270	6566	6918	7762 8118				
S ₂ - 75 x 16 cm	7777	6980	7379	8918	7969	8494		
SEd	137	111	118	115	102	121		
CD (P=0.05)	274	223	236	230	204	243		
Intercropping systems								
C ₁ - Baby corn alone	7578	8 6788 7183		7638	6863	7251		
C ₂ - Baby corn + fenugreek (greens)	7610	6847	7229	10564	9457	10011		
C ₃ - Baby corn + fodder cowpea	7383	6683	7033	7976	7177	7577		
SEd	163	135	140	132	116	141		
CD (P=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	264 232		283		
Topping practices			•					
T ₁ - Detasseling alone	7109	6370	6740	8446	7592	8019		
T ₂ - Beyond 9 th internode	7756	5 7018 7387		4909	8019	8464		
T ₃ . Beyond 9 th internode	7951	7183	83 7567 8993		8090	8542		
T ₄ - Beyond 11 th internode	7256	6520	6888	8555	7651	8103		
SEd	223	205	212	168	155	174		
CD (P=0.05)	457	416	435	336	312	348		

Interaction is not significant



The soil of the experimental field was sandy clay loam in texture belonging Typic Ustochrepts with alkaline pH; low in organic carbon (0.35 and 0.39%) and available nitrogen (232.5 and 242.6 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available phosphorus (14.2 and 16.5 kg ha⁻¹) and high in potassium (470.0 and 446.8 kg ha⁻¹) during both the years respectively. The baby corn composite COBC₁, CO₂ of fenugreek (greens) and CO(FC) 8 of fodder cowpea varieties were used under this study.

The cobs from net area of each plot were harvested separately, weighed and recorded as green cob yield (kg ha⁻¹). Baby corn equivalent yield (BEY) was worked out based on the formulae evolved by Verma and Modgal (1983). Fenugreek plants from the net plot were pulled off manually, roots were washed with water, weighed and expressed as kg ha⁻¹. The cowpea plants were harvested from the net plot area weighed and expressed the fodder yield as kg ha⁻¹.

Intercropping efficiency was evaluated by comparing the productivity of a given area of intercropping with that of sole crops using competition functions described below.

Land Equivalent Ratio (LER) =
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_i^I / Y_i^M)$$

Where, n - 1, 2, 3 ...n, Total nuber of crops in association, Y_i^I - Yield of i in intercropping, and Y_i^M - Yield of i in sole cropping (Mead and Willey (1980). In the present study, $S_1C_1T_1$ treatment was taken as the yield of baby corn in sole cropping.

Area Time Equivalency
Ratio (ATER) =
$$\frac{(LER_a \times t_a) + (LER_b \times t_b)}{T}$$

Where, LERa - LER of baby corn, LERb - LER of intercrops, t_a . Duration of baby corn (days), t_b - Duration of intercrop (days), and T - Duration of the intercropping system (days) (Hiebsch and Mc Collum , 1987), Here also, the baby corn yield in the statement $S_1C_1T_1$ was taken as the yield of baby corn in sole cropping.

Area Harvest Equivalency Ratio (AHER) =

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i}^{I} X_{i}^{M} ni)$$

Where, n - 1, 2, 3 . . . n, Total number of crops in association, Y_i^I - Yield of crop i in intercropping, Y_i^M - Yield of crop i in sole cropping, and ni - Total number of possible harvests of crop i that could be obtained during the full intercrop period, if crop i was monocropped (Balasubramanian and Sukayanka, 1990)

Land Equivalent Coefficient (LEC) = $LER_a \times LER_b$

Where, LERa - LER of baby corn, and LERb - LER of intercrops (Adetiloye *et al.*, 1983)

Monetary Equivalent Ratio (MER) = (ra + rb + rc) / RaWhere, ra = Pa x ya, rb = Pb x yb, rc = Pc x yc, Ra = Pa x Ya

Where, ra, rb and rc are the monetary returns of baby corn, fenugreek and fodder cowpea (*Kharif*, 2006 and 2007), respectively under intercropping; Pa, Pb and Pc are the current market prices per unit weight of above respectively; ya, yb and yc are the intercrop yields of the above crops and Ya is the sole crop yield of baby corn obtained in the treatment S₁C₁T₁. Ra is the monetary returns of baby corn under sole cropping. This was calculated based on gross returns as well as net returns (Adetiloye and Adekunle, 1989).

Relative Net Returns Index (RNRI) =

$$\frac{(PiYi) + (PjYj) \pm Dij}{(P \times Yii)}$$

Where, Yi - Yield of the ith major crop ha⁻¹, Yj - Yield of the jth intercrop ha⁻¹, Pi - Unit price of the product of ith major crop, Pj - Unit price of the product of j^{rh} intercrop, Yii - Yield of ith sole crop ha⁻¹ and Dij - Differential cost of cultivation of ijth crop combination in comparison to ith sole crop (Jain and Rao, 1980).

Table 2. Yield (kg ha⁻¹) of intercrops as influenced by crop geometry, intercropping systems and topping practices of baby corn

Treatments	Kharif, 2006	Kharif, 2007					
$S_1C_2T_1$	3300	2990					
$S_1C_2T_2$	3375	3035					
$S_1C_2T_3$	3350	3105					
$S_1C_2T_4$	3285	3075					
$S_1C_3T_1$	10723	9686					
$S_1C_3T_2$	10672	9850					
$S_1C_3T_3$	10863	9728					
$S_1C_3T_4$	10772	9658					
$S_2C_2T_1$	3410	3150					
$S_2C_2T_2$	3550	3100					
$S_2C_2T_3$	3485	3213					
$S_2C_2T_4$	3350	3118					
$S_2C_3T_1$	11645	10736					
$S_2C_3T_2$	11726	10893					
$S_2C_3T_3$	11796	10770					
$S_2C_3T_4$	11685	10845					
Sole C ₂	4630	4163					
C_3	16535	15352					

Data not statistically analysed

Crop geometry	Intercropping systems	Topping practices							
S ₁ - 60 x 20 cm	C ₁ - Baby corn alone	T ₁ - Detasseling alone							
S ₂ - 75 x 16 cm	C ₂ - Baby corn + fenugreek (greens)	T ₂ - Topping beyond 9 th internode							
	C ₃ - Baby corn + fodder cowpea	T ₃ - Topping beyond 10 th internode							
		T ₄ - Topping beyond 11 th internode							

Results and Discussion

Green cob yield

Crop geometry had a positive influence on green cob yield of baby corn (Table 1). Baby corn grown at wider row (75' x 16 cm) spacing produced 7.0 and 6.3 per cent higher cob yield over narrow row (60 x 20 cm) spacing during 2006 and 2007, respectively. Pooled analysis showed that wider row spacing recorded 6.7 per cent

higher green cob yield than narrow row spacing. This increase in yield was probably due to effective utilization of applied nutrients, increased sink capacity and nutrient uptake by the crop. This corroborates with the findings of and Maddonni *et al.*, (2006) in maize and Thavaprakaash and Velayutham (2009) in baby corn.

There was no significant response on cob yield of baby corn due to intercropping systems. This might be due to short duration, short plant stature, non-bushiness and also neither complementary nor competitive nature of intercrops did not influence growth parameters of main crop. Similar results have been reported earlier by Tiwari *et al.*, (2002) and Thavaprakaash and Velayutham (2008).

Among all the topping treatments, topping beyond 10th internode was significantly superior and produced higher green cob yield as compared to topping beyond 9th internode. The lowest green cob yield was registered with detasseling alone. Topping beyond 10th internode recorded 11.8 and 12.8 per cent higher cob yield during 2006 and 2007 respectively over detasseling alone. Based on pooled analysis, the yield increase due to topping beyond 10th and 9th internode over detasseling alone was 12.3 and 9.6 per cent, respectively. The possible reasons for this enhanced yield might be due to greater functioning of remaining leaves by arresting unnecessary growth, decreased mutual shading of leaves, higher light interception leading to increased photosynthesis and CO₂ exchange rate, nutrient uptake, decreased competition between the tassel and ear for available plant nutrients, diverting plant nutrients to the reproductive part which aids in better source-sink relationship and better cob development. The present results are in agreement with the findings of Esechie and Al-Alawi (2002) in maize.

Baby corn equivalent yield (BEY)

Higher BEY was obtained in wider row (76 x 16 cm) than narrow row (60 x 20 cm) crop geometry (Table 1). Pooled mean was also in the similar trend. Wider row spacing recorded 4.0 per cent increased BEY when compared to narrow row spaced baby corn. Between intrcrops, baby corn + fenugreek registered higher BEY than baby corn + fodder cowpea intercropping system during both the years. Pooled analysis showed that baby



Table 3. Effect of crop geometry, intercropping systems and topping practices on LER, ATER, AHER, LEC, Gross and net return based MER and RNRI of baby corn based intercropping systems

	Kharif 2006									Kharif 2	2007							
Treat- ments	LER	ATER	AHER	LEC	Gross return based MER	Net return based MER	RNRI	LER	ATER	AHER	LEC	Gross return based MER	Net return based MER	RNRI				
$S_1C_1T_1$	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	-	1.00	1.00	1.00				
$S_1C_1T_2$	1.08	1.08	1.08	-	1.08	1.10	1.08	1.08	1.08	1.08	-	1.02	1.03	1.03				
$S_1C_1T_3$	1.11	1.11	1.11	-	1.11	1.14	1.11	1.13	1.13	1.13	-	1.03	1.04	1.04				
$S_1C_1T_4$	1.03	1.03	1.03	-	1.03	1.04	1.03	1.05	1.05	1.05	-	1.01	1.01	1.01				
$S_1C_2T_1$	1.73	1.32	1.73	0.72	1.36	1.47	1.38	1.74	1.33	1.74	0.74	1.32	1.43	1.34				
$S_1C_2T_2$	1.90	1.48	1.90	0.85	1.50	1.65	1.52	1.82	1.40	1.82	0.80	1.35	1.46	1.38				
$S_1C_2T_3$	1.90	1.49	1.90	0.85	1.51	1.66	1.53	1.94	1.51	1.94	0.89	1.37	1.48	1.39				
$S_1C_2T_4$	1.74	1.33	1.74	0.73	1.37	1.48	1.39	1.79	1.35	1.79	0.77	1.34	1.44	1.36				
$S_1C_3T_1$	1.64	1.46	1.64	0.64	1.05	1.05	1.06	1.64	1.47	1.64	0.64	1.05	1.05	1.06				
$S_1C_3T_2$	1.71	1.54	1.71	0.69	1.12	1.14	1.14	1.71	1.53	1.71	0.68	1.07	1.07	1.09				
$S_1C_3T_3$	1.75	1.57	1.75	0.71	1.13	1.16	1.15	1.73	1.56	1.73	0.70	1.08	1.09	1.10				
$S_1C_3T_4$	1.66	1.48	1.66	0.66	1.06	1.06	1.07	1.65	1.48	1.65	0.64	1.05	1.05	1.07				
$S_2C_1T_1$	1.01	1.03	1.01	-	1.04	1.05	1.04	1.02	1.02	1.02	-	1.02	1.03	1.02				
$S_2C_1T_2$	1.22	1.26	1.22	-	1.20	1.27	1.21	1.17	1.17	1.17	-	1.05	1.05	1.05				
$S_2C_1T_3$	1.26	1.29	1.26	-	1.25	1.33	1.25	1.27	1.27	1.27	-	1.05	1.07	1.06				
$S_2C_1T_4$	1.07	1.10	1.07	-	1.08	1.10	1.08	1.07	1.07	1.07	-	1.03	1.03	1.03				
$S_2C_2T_1$	1.85	1.43	1.85	0.82	1.48	1.62	1.49	1.85	1.40	1.85	0.82	1.36	1.48	1.38				
$S_2C_2T_2$	2.00	1.57	2.00	0.94	1.59	1.78	1.62	1.93	1.49	1.93	0.88	1.38	1.50	1.40				
$S_2C_2T_3$	2.04	1.60	2.04	0.98	1.63	1.83	1.65	2.06	1.61	2.06	0.99	1.40	1.53	1.42				
$S_2C_2T_4$	1.85	1.43	1.85	0.81	1.50	1.65	1.51	1.88	1.44	1.88	0.85	1.36	1.48	1.38				
$S_2C_3T_1$	1.76	1.57	1.76	0.74	1.11	1.14	1.13	1.74	1.55	1.74	0.73	1.07	1.08	1.09				
$S_2C_3T_2$	1.84	1.65	1.84	0.80	1.20	1.24	1.22	1.84	1.65	1.84	0.80	1.10	1.11	1.12				
$S_2C_3T_3$	1.86	1.67	1.86	0.82	1.22	1.27	1.24	1.87	1.68	1.87	0.82	1.11	1.12	1.12				
$S_2C_3T_4$	1.78	1.59	1.78	0.76	1.14	1.17	1.15	1.76	1.57	1.76	0.75	1.08	1.09	1.09				
Data not statistically analysed																		

Data not statistically analysed

Crop geometry	Intercropping systems	Topping practices
S ₁ - 60 x 20 cm	1 -	T ₁ - Detasseling alone
$S_1 = 60 \times 20 \text{ cm}$ $S_2 = 75 \times 16 \text{ cm}$	C ₂ - Baby corn + fenugreek (greens)	T ₂ - Topping beyond 9 th internode
S ₂ - 73 x 10 cm	C ₃ - Baby corn + fodder cowpea	T ₃ - Topping beyond 10 th internode
		T ₄ - Topping beyond 11 th internode

corn intercropped with fenugreek recorded 38.1 per cent higher BEY over sole baby corn. This could be justified that additional yield obtained from the intercrops and higher market value for fenugreek. Kumar and Singh (2002) reported that the maize grain equivalent yield was the highest with maize + fenugreek intercropping over sole maize. This corroborates with the findings of Bali Reddy *et al.*, (2009) in baby corn + vegetable cowpea intercropping and Nataraj *et al.*, (2011) in baby corn + french bean intercropping.

Intercrops yield

Intercrop yields were higher under 75 cm row spacing when compared to 60 cm spacing (Table 2). There was little / no competition between the base crop of baby corn and the associated intercrop during the growth period. Availability of light, moisture and nutrients in adequate amounts resulted in enhanced plant height, LAI and TDMP which in turn improved the yield of intercrops. However, the highest growth and yield of intercrops were recorded when grown as sole crop. This is in consonance with the results of Thavaprakaash *et al.*, (2005) in baby corn + *Amaranthus* intercropping system and Muhammad Azim Khan *et al.*, (2012) in maize + mungbean intercropping system.

Topping practices did not influence on any of the growth and yield parameters of intercrops because topping treatments were imposed only after 45 DAS.

Biological efficiency of intercropping systems

LER, LEC, AHER, ATER, gross and net return based MER, and RNRI as influenced by crop geometry, intercropping systems and topping practices (Table 3).

Land equivalent ratio (LER) is the tool to assess the biological efficiency of intercropping system. Among the different treatment combinations, the higher LER value (2.04 and 2.06 during 2006 and 2007, respectively) was recorded in baby corn + fenugreek intercropping system at 75 cm row spacing along with topping beyond 10th internode (S₂C₂T₃) during both the years of study, respectively. Similar trend was also observed with LEC, AHER, gross and net return based MER and RNRI. This falls in line with the findings of Thavaprakaash *et al.*,

(2005) in baby corn + Amaranthus intercropping system

Baby corn intercropped with fodder cowpea at 75 cm row spacing combined with topping beyond 10th internode (S₂C₃T₃) registered the higher ATER (1.67 and 1.68 during 2006 and 2007, respectively) as compared to other treatment combination. This is in consistent with the findings of Muhammad Azim Khan *et al.*, (2012) in maize + mungbean intercropping system.

References

- Adetiloye, P.O. and Adekunle A.A. 1989. Concept of Monetary Equivalent Ratio and its usefulness in the evaluation of intercropping advantages. *Tropical Agriculture* **66:** 337-341
- Adetiloye, P.O., Ezedinma, F.O.C. and Okigbo, B.N. 1983.
 A Land Equivalent Coefficient (LEC) concept for the evaluation of competitive and productive interactions in simple to complex crop mixtures. *Eco. Modeling* 19: 27-39.
- Balasubramanian, V. and Sukayanka, L. 1990. Area harvest equivalency ratio for measuring efficiency in multiseason intercropping. *Agronomy Journal* 82: 519-522.
- Bali Reddy, V. Bindu Madhavi G. Chenga Reddy, V. Gurava Reddy, K. and Reddy, M. Chandra Sekhar, 2009. Intercropping baby corn with legume cover crops. *Agricultural Science Digest* **29**(4): 260-263
- Esechie, H.A. and Al-Alawi, K. 2002. Effect of tassel removal on grain yield of maize (*Zea mays* L.) under saline conditions. *Crop Research* **24**(1): 96-101.
- Hiebsch, C.K. and Mc Collum, R.E. 1987. Area x Time Equivalency Ratio: A method for evaluating the productivity of intercrops. *Agronomy Journal* **79:** 15-22.
- Jain, T.C. and Rao, G.M. 1980. Note on a new approach to analysis of yield data in intercropping systems. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Science* **50**(12): 970-972.
- Kamanga, B.C., Waddington, G.S.R., M.J. Robertson and Giller, K.E. 2010. Risk analysis of maize-legume crop combinations with small holder farmers varying in resource endowment in central Malawi. *Journal of Experimental Agriculture* 46: 1-21.
- Kumar, A. and Singh, S.N. 2002. Production potential and economics of winter maize based intercropping systems. *Annals of Agricultural Research* **23**(4): 532-534.
- Kumar, A., Singh R. and Chhillar, R.K. 2006. Nitrogen requirement of fennel (Foeniculm vulgare) based cropping system. Indian Journal of Agricultural Science 76(10): 599-602.



- Maddonni, G.A., Cirilo A.G. and Otegui, M.E. 2006. Row width and maize grain yield. *Agronomy Journal* **98:** 1532-1543.
- Mead, R. and Willey, R.W. 1980. The concept of land equivalent ratio and advantages in yields from intercropping. *Experimental Agriculture* **16:** 217-228.
- Khan, Muhammad Azim, Khalid Naveed, Kawsar Ali, Bashir Ahmad and Samin Jan. 2012. Impact of mungbean maize intercropping on growth and yield of mungbean. *Pakistan Journal of Weed Science and Research* **18**(2): 191-200.
- Nataraj. D., Murty K.N.K. and Viswanath A.P. 2011. Economics of baby corn cultivation under sole and intercropped situation with leguminous vegetables. *Agricultural Science Digest* **31**(3): 211-213.
- Purushotham, S., Siddarajun R. and G.C. Narayanaswamy, 2003. Effect of seed rate and fertility levels in sole and mixed cropping of fodder maize and cowpea. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Science* **37**(1): 51-55.
- Thavaprakaash, N., K. Velayudham and V.B. Muthukumar 2005. Study of crop geometry, intercropping systems and nutrient

- management practices on weed density and yield in baby corn based intercropping system. *Madras Agricultural Journal* **92**: 407-414.
- Thavaprakaash. N., and Velayudham K., 2008. Light interception and productivity of baby corn as influenced by crop geometry, intercropping systems and INM practices. *Asian Journal of Scientific Research* **1**(1): 72-78.
- Thavaprakaash. N., and Velayudham K. 2009. Influence of crop geometry, intercropping systems and INM practices on productivity of baby corn based intercropping system. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **43**(4): 686-695.
- Tiwari, R.S., Agarwal, A. and Senger, S.C. 2002. Effect of intercropping on yield and economics of fennel (*Foeniculum vulgure* Mill.). *Crop Research* **23**(2): 369-374.
- Verma, S.P. and Modgal, S.C. 1983. Production potential and economics of fertilizer application as resource constraints in maize wheat crop sequence. *Himachal Journal of Agricultural Research* **9**(2): 89-92.